## Case 2:23-cr-00074-DJC Document 45 Filed 04/22/24 Page 1 of 3

1 2 3 4 5	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney EMILY G. SAUVAGEAU Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900		
6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	IDUTED STATES OF AMERICA	CACENO 222 CD 00074 DIG	
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:23-CR-00074-DJC	
12 13	Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; FINDINGS AND ORDER	
14	ARNES KRAJINIC, DATE: April 25, 2024		
15	Defendant.	TIME: 9:00 a.m. COURT: Hon. Daniel J. Calabretta	
16			
17	STIPULATION		
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and		
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on April 25, 2024.		
21	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until May 16,		
22	2024, at 9:00 a.m., and to exclude time between April 25, 2024, and May 16, 2024, under Local Code		
23	T4.		
24	3. The parties agree and stipulate, an	nd request that the Court find the following:	
25	a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case		
26	includes search warrants, photographs, audio recordings, and video recordings. All of this		
27	discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and		
28	copying.		

## Case 2:23-cr-00074-DJC Document 45 Filed 04/22/24 Page 2 of 3

- b) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to consult with his client regarding discovery, to complete defense investigation, and otherwise prepare for trial.
- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
  - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of April 25, 2024 to May 16, 2024, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: April 21, 2024

PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

\_

/s/ EMILY G. SAUVAGEAU
EMILY G. SAUVAGEAU
Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: April 21, 2024

/s/ DAVID FISCHER
DAVID FISCHER
Counsel for Defendant
ARNES KRAJINIC

## Case 2:23-cr-00074-DJC Document 45 Filed 04/22/24 Page 3 of 3

1		
2	ORDER	
3	IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 22nd of	day of April, 2024.
4		
5	<u>—</u>	s/ Daniel J. Calabretta ΓΗΕ HONORABLE DANIEL J. CALABRETTA
6		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22   23		
23 24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	STIDLIL ATION REGADNING EVOLUDADLE TIME 3	
- 1	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME 3	